UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,020	03/22/2004	Andrew D. Birrell	MSFT-5310/307233.01	1990
	7590 01/13/200 WASHBURN LLP (M	EXAMINER		
CIRA CENTRE	E, 12TH FLOOR	PAN, JOSEPH T		
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2435	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/806,020	BIRRELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	JOSEPH PAN	2435	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
		91 ( b ( 1 b -	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) ☒ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandment /F	OTOL 224)
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		mpilant Amendment (r	10L-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10,12-20,28-34 and 36</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kimyen Vu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended independent claims 1, 12, 28, and 36 now contain the claim limitation "a coordinating cancellation server". For exampler, claim 1 now recites:

"1 (Currently Amended) A coordinating cancellation server of a digital delivery system, configured for executing the steps of:

communicatively coupling a first of a plurality of cancellation servers connected through the coordinating cancellation server to at least one database comprising a plurality of unique identifiers for cryptographic puzzles;

receiving an identifier associated with a cryptographic puzzle, the puzzle being attached to a digital object, the digital object being an electronic mail message intended for delivery from a sender to a recipient distinct from the sender;

validating the received identifier by verifying that the identifier does not exist in the at least one database associated with the first cancellation server connected to said coordinating server;

communicatively coupling a second cancellation server through the coordinating cancellation server to an additional database of said unique identifiers:

validating the received identifier further by verifying that the identifier does not exist in the database associated with the second cancellation server; and

upon validating, canceling the cryptographic puzzle by storing in the at least one database an entry comprising the identifier or information derived from the identifier, and transmitting to the recipient an ACCEPT response if the identifier is validated."

The Specification discloses "[0046] In other embodiments, multiple cancellation servers communicate with one another to distribute and/or share data. One example of a distributed system of cancellation servers is shown in FIG. 4. A coordinating cancellation server 402 acts as a central coordinating point for managing the distribution of data among several cancellation servers. When a puzzle checker 406 queries one of the cancellation servers 404 with the unique identifier of a cryptographic puzzle, the queried server 404 hashes the identifier and contacts the coordinating server 402. The coordinating server 402 checks to see which of the several cancellation servers 404 is responsible for the particular unique identifier, for example, based on the three least significant digits of the hashed value. The coordinating server 402 returns the address of the appropriate cancellation server 406 to the calling cancellation server 404, which in turn queries the appropriate cancellation server 406 directly. This and similar techniques are thus used to distribute the load of identifiers across multiple cancellation servers." (see page 18, Specification). Therefore, the Specification discloses that the coordinating cancellation server "checks to see which of the several cancellation servers 404 is responsible for the particular unique identifier", returns the address of the appropriate cancellation server [i.e., the address of ONE cancellation server] to the calling cancellation server, which in turn queries the appropriate cancellation server [i.e., ONE cancellation server] directly.

Figure 4 of Specification further discloses a digital delivery system with a coordinating cancellation server, wherein the unique identifier of a cryptographic puzzle [i.e., 'xxx837'] is stored and queried in one and only one of the multiple cancellation servers [i.e., CS4].

Thus, the Specification does not support a coordinating cancellation server of a digital delivery system, configured for executing the step of "validating the received identifier further by verifying that the identifier does not exist in the database associated with the SECOND cancellation server; " (emphasis added), such as claimed in Claim 1.

During the telephone interview of December 12, 2008, Examiner made the same comments as above.